## PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: James McKee

DOCKET NO.: 06-20926.001-R-1

PARCEL NO.: 11-19-410-021-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are James McKee, the appellant; and the Cook County Board of Review.

The subject property consists of a 79-year-old, two-story single-family dwelling of masonry construction containing 2,138 square feet of living area and located in Evanston Township, Cook County. The residence contains one and one half bathrooms, a full basement, a fireplace and a two-car garage.

The appellant submitted evidence before the PTAB claiming unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of the appeal. this argument, the appellant offered three In support of suggested comparable properties located within one block of the These properties consist of two-story single-family The age of dwellings of masonry, frame or stucco construction. the comparables was not provided. The comparables have one, two or three bathrooms, one with a half-bath and no indication of basements. One comparable has air conditioning and all have fireplaces. Two properties have one-car garages. comparables contain between 2,208 and 2,380 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$47,111 to \$49,671 or from \$20.87 to \$21.46 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final improvement assessment of \$50,736, or \$23.73 per square foot of living area, was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board offered two suggested comparable properties located within two blocks of the subject. The comparables consist of two-story single-family dwellings of masonry construction and 66 and 96 years old. The comparables contain two or two and one half bathrooms, full basements, one

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds  $\underline{no\ change}$  in the assessment of the property as established by the  $\underline{COOK}$  County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$12,898 IMPR. \$50,736 TOTAL: \$63,634

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/TMcG. 7/08

finished, one has air conditioning, both have fireplaces and two or three-car garages. The comparables contain 2,048 and 2,064 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments of \$50,496 and \$53,655 or \$24.46 and \$26.20 per square foot of living area. The board also disclosed the subject was purchased in October 2006 for \$660,000. Based on this evidence, the board requested confirmation of the subject property's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the PTAB finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the PTAB finds the appellant has failed to overcome this burden.

The PTAB finds both parties' comparables are somewhat similar to the subject but with differences in construction and in living area in the appellant's three comparables. These six properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$20.87 to \$26.20 per square foot of living area. The subject's per square foot improvement assessment of \$23.73 is within this range of properties. The PTAB finds the board's comparables are more similar to the subject. After considering the suggested properties when compared to the subject property, the PTAB finds the evidence is insufficient to effect a change in the subject's current assessment.

As a result of this analysis, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the appellant failed to adequately demonstrate that the subject dwelling was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and no reduction is warranted. This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Thull fort

Member

Sharon V. Thompson

Member

Walter R. Lorski

Member

DISSENTING:

## CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: August 14, 2008

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A  $\underline{\text{PETITION}}$  AND  $\underline{\text{EVIDENCE}}$  WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.